



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,709	09/692,709 10/19/2000		Christian Gehrmann	45687-00036	7545
38065	7590	12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
ERICSSO	N INC.		HOFFMAN, BRANDON S		
6300 LEG	ACY DRIVE	3			
M/S EVR C11				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PLANO, TX 75024			2136		

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/692,709	GEHRMANN ET AL.					
, , <b>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </b>	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Brandon Hoffman	2136					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	fthe final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the					
<ul><li>6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li></ul>	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-23.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
10. Other:		EMMANUEL L. MOISE PRIMARY EXAMINER					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Chadwick specifically shows the r quirement of not having to use certificates as seen on page 19, under the section "Requirements of the ICE-TEL Trust Model" and continuing through the beginning of page 19.